Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/556,911	YONEYAMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Benny Lee	2817
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Benny Lee (PTO)</u> .	(3) <u>Roland Long (Reg No. 41494)</u> .	
(2) <u>Jeremy Mereness</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 March 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 21, 25, 26, 40 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Clarifying changes to the specification and the claims were discussed and agreement reached on specific cahnges, which would place the application in condition for allowance. See accompanying examiners amendment.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)